





Optimization of ultrasonic-assisted extraction from chicory root via orthogonal

Associate Prof. Wang Quanzhen

College of Animal Sci. and Techn., Northwest A&F University, Yangling 712100, Shaanxi Province, Peoples' Republic of China







ST

YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Introduction

Ø Cichorium intybus L. Chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) is a perennial plant of the Asteraceae family and is native to the Mediterranean region, Central Asia and Northern Africa. It was first cultivated as a medicinal plant and a vegetable crop in ancient Rome and Greece (Plmuier, 1972). Today, it is popularly cultivated in Europe and North America and has many commercial uses.

ØChinese Medicine: diuretic, digestive, lightly laxative and cholagogic properties

Ø efficacy of anti-allergy

and the second s





- N Ultrasonic-assisted extraction: Ultrasound-assisted extraction
 (UAE) has been widely utilized to isolate bioactive substances from different parts of plants
- Orthogonal array designs: Orthogonal array designs have been used very efficiently to discover how different parameters interact and how they affect product recovery (Stenlund et al., 2009). In this study, a new approach was developed that determined the overall best parameters for the UAE setting







YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Materials and methods

∩ 1. Plant materials preparing: Five-year-old Puna chicory roots, freshly harvested in September 2009 after growing in an experimental field of the Grassland Science Department, Northwest A&F University, Shaanxi Province, China, were washed several times with water, cut into pieces 3 to 5 mm in thickness and dried in an oven at 50°C until a constant weight was obtained (Chen et al., 2009). The dried material was then ground into powder







YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

n 2. Sample preparation :

Oven dried *Cichorium intybus* **L. roots**

- **n 3. Experimental design : Table 1**
- n 4. Preparation of crude extracts: 16 different treatments will to be done in the experiment. 500g chicory root powder was taken every time, put in to the jars and added corresponding ethanol solution stirring with a glass rod. By vacuum pump and Buchner funnel, the mixtures was filtered through 2 layers of filter parers after sonicated, collected the filtrate and was rotary-evaporated under vacuum pump at about 40°C[49] till to concrete yield of chicory





Table 1 Assignment of controlled factors and levels of the experimental design using mixed
orthogonal matrix L_{16} ($4^3 \times 2^6$)

Factors ^a	A(%)	B(v:v)	C(°℃)	D(h)	Е	F(min)	G(w)	Η	Ι
Level I	0	8	20	24	1	30	200	1	
Level II	50	16	35	48	2	120	400	2	
Level III	75	24	50						
Level IV	100	32	65						

^aColumn A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I stand for ethanol concentration, solvent-to-solid ratio, ultrasound temperature, impregnation time, impregnation times, ultrasound time, ultrasound power, ultrasound times and vacancy for statistical error, respectively.







YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Results

n 1 visual analyses:

The results of the L16 (43×26) orthogonal experimental design are shown in Fig. 1 and Table 2. The highest extraction percentage was observed in treatment 1 (97.36%, bolded in Table 2), which occurred at visually optimal conditions, as was the case for the treatment $A_1 B_1 C_4 D_1 E_2 F_1 G_2 H_2$







Factors No.treat	A (%)	B (v:w)	С (°С)	D (h)	E (time)	F (min)	G (W)	H (time)	I	extraction rate (%)
(1)	1(0)	1(8)	4(60)	1(24)	2(2)	1(30)	2(400)	2(2)	1	97.36
2	2(50)	1	1(20)	2(48)	1(1)	1	1(200)	2	2	25.20
3	3(75)	1	3(50)	2	2	2(120)	1	1(1)	1	25.89
4	4(100)	1	2(35)	1	1	2	2	1	2	2.04
5	1	2(16)	3	2	1	2	2	2	2	72.96
6	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	28.32
7	3	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	2	21.50
8	4	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	4.29
9	1	3(24)	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	71.64
10	2	3	4	2	1	2	2	1	1	24.60
11	3	3	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	33.94
12	4	3	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	4.39
13	1	4(32)	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	54.60
14	2	4	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	33.80
15	3	4	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	32.09
16	4	4	4	2	2	2	1	2	2	10.43

Table 2 Assignment of factors and levels of experiment by using $L_{16}(4^3 \times 2^6)$ matrix along with



Fig. 1. The interaction of ultrasonic temperature and solvent-to-solid ratio for extraction rate





YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

2. Range and variance analyses

n The ranges of the average and sum of the factors are shown in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively. The ranges in column I, which had statistical errors of 0 and 0.03 in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively, indicated that the experiment was statistically accurate given its designed intention (Hedayat et al., 1999). Furthermore, according to the orthogonal method, as the range increases, the factor becomes more important (Bagheri et al., 2000; Chi and Bloebaum, 1996). As such, the ranges for the averages in decreasing order were A, C, E, H, G, B, D and F (indicated in the last row in Table 3), and the ranges for the sums in decreasing order were A, E, H, G, D, C, B and F (indicated in the last row in Table 4). This ordering of factors (Table 3 and Table 4) thus conveyed their relative importance. Additionally, the results showed that the eight factors were significantly different according to variance analysis (Table 5) STA





SAR

YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

3. Optimized conditions

white an and the

According to the orthogonal method, the level of the averages (or sum) with

the highest yield corresponded to optimum conditions (Hedayat et al., 1999). Both in terms of average and sum, the highest extraction percentage of the levels (i.e., the optimal conditions) are highlighted in bold in Table 3 and Table 4 (including level one of A and B and level four of C, for factors A, B and C). Accordingly, the optimal conditions for calculation were $A_1 B_1 C_4 D_1 E_2 F_1 G_2 H_2$. Both visually (treatment 1 in Table 2) and by calculation (Table 3 and Table 4), the optimum conditions for the extraction were $A_1 B_1 C_4 D_1 E_2 F_1 G_2 H_2$. Without A_1 and A_4 , the ranges in decreasing order were G, E, C, B, H, D and F, and the optimal conditions were $B_4 C_3 D_1 E_2 F_1 G_2 H_2$ (Table 6)





Factors	Δ	B	C	D	F	F	G	н	T
Loval I	74.14	27.02	22.21	26.20	20.67	24.20	20.25	20.00	22.04
Level 1	/4.14	57.62	35.51	30.39	29.67	54.39	30.25	29.80	33.94
Level II	27.98	31.77	29.73	31.49	38.21	33.50	37.64	38.09	33.94
Level III	28.36	33.64	34.26						
Level IV	5.29	32.73	38.47						
Range	68.85	5.86	8.75	4.90	8.54	0.89	7.39	8.29	0.00
Order	1	6	2	7	3	8	5	4	9







YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

	1	Table 4 S	um the l	evels of	the facto	rs and th	e ranges		
Factors	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Η	Ι
Level I	296.56	150.49	133.22	291.14	237.38	275.08	241.97	238.36	271.54
Level II	111.92	127.07	118.90	251.91	305.67	267.97	301.08	304.69	271.51
Level III	113.42	134.57	137.04						
Level IV	21.15	130.92	153.89						
Range	275.41	23.42	34.99	39.23	68.29	7.11	59.11	66.33	0.03
Order	1	7	6	5	2	8	4	3	9







ST

YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

		4	10	C		P*
Table 5 Variance and	nalysis t	he experiment	al factors	tor	sign1	ficance

Variation source	SS	df	MS	F	$F_{0,01\left(m,n\right)}$	
A	10014.88	3	3338.29	4768989.67**	5403	
В	79.33	3	26.44	37777.43**		
С	155.26	3	51.75	73934.05**		
D	96.19	1	96.19	137410**	4052	
E	291.47	1	291.47	416386**		
F	3.16	1	3.16	4513**		
G	218.37	1	218.37	311963**		
н	274.98	1	274.98	392827**		
I (error)	0.0007	1	0.0007			
Total variation	11133.64	15				

**: Statistically significant difference at 0.01.

BALL





Table 6 Average the levels of the factors and the ranges without factor A or I

Factors	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
Level I	51.09	57.29	115.71	103.39	114.44	100.91	105.79
Level II	49.82	59.69	109.63	121.95	110.9	124.43	119.55
Level III	58.54	62.26					
Level IV	65.89	46.10					
Range	16.07	16.16	6.08	18.56	3.54	23.52	13.76
Order	4	3	6	2	7	1	5







YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Discussion

- n The ranges of vacancy (I) were close to zero
- n Sum up the three orders suggested the importance of them as A>E>G>C>H>B>D>F
- n The ethanol concentration were variously reported







ST

YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

- n A same optimum conditions of $D_1E_2F_1G_2H_2$
- n Solvent-to-solid ratio, B at 8
- n Sonicated temperature (C)
- n The impregnating time (D) and times of impregnating (E)
- n The sonicated time (F)

n Ultrasonic input power (G)





YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Conclusions

- n (1) This study demonstrates that ultrasonic assisted was a great efficiency tool for the fast extraction from plant, and mixed orthogonal matrix was successfully screened the conditions of ultrasonic assisted extraction.
- n (2) The importance of the eight factors in ultrasonic assisted extraction was orderly as ethanol concentration > impregnating times > ultrasonic input power > sonicated temperature > sonicated times > solvent-to-solid ratio > impregnating time > sonicated time.







(3) The optimal ultrasonic extraction conditions were

- impregnating time 24h, impregnating 2 times, sonicated time 30 min, ultrasonic input power 400W and sonicated 2 times, and they were independent of alcohol concentration, solvent-tosolid ratio or sonicated temperature.
- (4) The alcohol concentration, solvent-to-solid ratio and sonicated temperature were optimized to two groups: 50~75%, 24~32 and 50~65℃, or 0%, 8 and 65℃, respectively.







YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Acknowledgements

- The Ministry of Since & Technology of the Peoples' Republic of China, International Cooperation Research Project (No.2008DFA31650), funded this work.
- Ø We are grateful to my co-researcher, associate professor Zhang Chunli, and my postgraduate students Miss Wu Hongxing, Miss

Yang Yali







Sim

YANGLING, SHAANXI PROVINCE, PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA





